

Objective Caml module system

Francesco Zappa Nardelli

Moscova Project — INRIA Rocquencourt

...based on a talk by Xavier Leroy.

Modular programming

- Separation of a program into parts that can be compiled independently

Being able to compile big programs

- Add structure to the code, simplifying code reutilisation

Being able to understand big programs

ML modules

(MacQueen, Milner, Harper) — Largely independent from the base language.

- A little typed functional language.
- Deals with collections of definitions (of values, of types) of the base language.
- Their types: collections of declarations/specifications (types of values, type declarations).
- Nested modules (like data-structures).
- Functions (functors) and function application.

Basic modules

The *structures*: collections of definitions.

```
struct definition ... definition end
```

The definitions correspond to the base language constructs:

definitions of values and functions

```
let  $x = \dots$ 
```

definitions of types

```
type  $t = \dots$ 
```

definitions of exceptions

```
exception  $E$  [ of ... ]
```

definitions of classes

```
class  $C = \dots$ 
```

definitions of sub-modules

```
module  $X = \dots$ 
```

definitions of types of modules

```
module type  $S = \dots$ 
```

Naming of a module

We name modules using the module binder.

```
module S = struct ... end
```

Example:

```
module S =  
  struct  
    type t = int  
    let x = 0  
    let f x = x+1  
  end
```

Use of a module

Dotted notation to reference module fields: *module.field*

```
... (S.f S.x : S.t) ...
```

Other option: the `open module` directive allows omitting the prefix and the dot:

```
open S  
... (f x : t) ...
```

Nested modules

A module can be part of another module:

```
module T =  
  struct  
    module R = struct let x = 0 end  
    let y = R.x + 1  
  end
```

The dot notation and the open construct extend smoothly:

```
T.R.x
```

```
open T.R
```

The types of the basic modules

The *signatures*: collections of specifications (of types).

```
sig specification ... specification end
```

specification of values

```
val  $x : \sigma$ 
```

specification of types, abstract

```
type  $t$ 
```

specification of types, manifest

```
type  $t = \tau$ 
```

specification of exceptions

```
exception  $E$ 
```

specification of classes

```
class  $C : \dots$ 
```

specification of sub-modules

```
module  $X : M$ 
```

specification de module types

```
module type  $S$  [  $= M$  ]
```

Naming of a module type: `module type SIG = sig ... end`

Sub-signaturing

For every structure definition, the system infers the most precise signature: all the components of the structure are visible, with their most general type.

```
struct                                sig
  type t = int                         type t = int
  let f x = x                          val f : 'a -> 'a
end                                    end
```

To hide some fields, or to restrict their type, we use signature constraints: (*structure : signature*).

The constraint checks that the structure satisfies the signature, and reduces into the structure seen with the restricted signature.

Example of restriction

```
module S =  
  (struct  
    type t = int  
    let x = 1  
    let y = x + 1  
  end :  
  sig  
    type t  
    val y : t  
  end)
```

```
S.x;;           error "S.x is unbound"  
S.y + 1;;      error "S.y is not of type int"
```

Multiple views of the same module

```
module Stamp =  
  struct  
    type t = int  
    let new () = ...  
    let equal s1 s2 = (s1 = s2)  
  end  
  
module type ABSTRSTAMP =  
  sig  
    type t  
    val equal: t -> t -> bool  
  end  
  
module AbstrStamp = (Stamp : ABSTRSTAMP)
```

Modules and separate compilation

A compilation unit A is composed of two files:

- the implementation file $A.ml$:
a sequence of definitions
like the content of `struct...end`
- the interface file $A.mli$ (optional):
a sequence of specifications
like the content of `sig...end`

Another compilation unit B can refer to A as if A is a structure, using the dot notation $A.x$ or the `open A` construct.

Separate compilation of a program

Source files: a.ml, a.mli, b.ml

Compilation steps:

```
ocamlc -c a.mli           (compiles the interface of A, creates a.cmi)
ocamlc -c a.ml           (compiles the implementation of A, creates a.cmo)
ocamlc -c b.ml           (compiles the implementation of B, creates b.cmo)
ocamlc -o myprog a.cmo b.cmo (final linking)
```

The program behaves like the monolithic code below:

```
module A = (struct content of a.ml end : sig content of a.mli end)
module B = struct content of b.ml end
```

The order of the module definitions corresponds to the order of the object files .cmo on the command line of the linker.

Parametric modules

A *functor* is a function from modules into modules:

$$\text{functor}(S: \textit{signature}) \rightarrow \textit{module}$$

The *module* (body of the functor) is explicitly parametrised on the module S . It refers to the components of its parameter using the dot notation.

```
module T = functor(S : SIG) ->
  struct
    type u = S.t * S.t
    let y = S.g(S.x)
  end
```

Functor application

Fields of `T` cannot be directly accessed: `T` must be applied to an implementation of the signature `SIG` (like a standard function application).

```
module T1 = T(S1)
module T2 = T(S2)
```

`T1`, `T2` are used like normal structures:

```
(T1.y : T2.u)
```

`T1` and `T2` share completely their code.

Long example: polynomials over an arbitrary ring

We will implement the standard operations on polynomials with coefficients over an arbitrary ring, passed as a parameter.

The parameter “ring” is a structure respecting the signature below:

```
module type RING =  
  sig  
    type t                (* type of the elements of the ring *)  
    val zero: t  
    val one: t  
    val plus: t->t->t     (* sum *)  
    val opp: t->t         (* opposite *)  
    val prod: t->t->t     (* product *)  
  end
```

Polynomials (implementation 1)

```
module PolyFull = functor (Ring : RING) ->
  struct
    module A = Ring
    type t = A.t array          (* type of polynomials *)
    let zero = [| A.zero |]     (* polynomial 0 *)
    let one = [| A.one |]      (* polynomial 1 *)
    let monome c n =           (* monome  $c.X^n$  *)
      let p = Array.create (n+1) A.zero in
      p.(n) <- c; p
    let coeff n p = p.(n)      (* coefficient of  $X^n$  in p *)
    let degree p =            (* degree of p *)
      let d = ref (Array.length p - 1) in
      while !d >= 0 && p.(!d) = A.zero do decr d done;
      !d
```

```
let plus p1 p2 =                                (* sum *)
  let r = Array.create (max (Array.length p1) (Array.length p2)) A.zero in
  for i = 0 to Array.length p1 - 1 do r.(i) <- p1.(i) done;
  for i = 0 to Array.length p2 - 1 do r.(i) <- A.plus r.(i) p2.(i) done;
  r
let opp p = ...                                (* opposite *)
let prod p1 p2 = ...                           (* product *)
end
```

Use of the functor PolyFull

Polynomials with integer coefficients:

```
module Integers =  
  struct  
    type t = int  
    let zero = 0  
    let one = 1  
    let plus x y = x + y  
    let opp x = -x  
    let prod x y = x * y  
  end  
module PolyIntegers = PolyFull(Integers)
```

Polynomials with integer coefficients with two variables = polynomials of polynomials of integers:

```
module Poly2Integers = PolyFull(PolyIntegers)
```

This is correct, because the structure obtained from `PolyFull` satisfies the signature `RING`.

Polynomials (implementation 2)

```
module PolyEmpty = functor (Ring : RING) ->
  struct
    module A = Ring
    type t = (A.t * int) list           (* type of polynomials *)
    let zero = []                       (* polynomial 0 *)
    let one = [(A.one, 0)]              (* polynomial 1 *)
    let monome c n = [(c, n)]          (* monome  $c.X^n$  *)
    let rec degree = function
      [] -> 0
      | [(coeff, d)] -> d
      | (coeff, d) :: reste -> degre reste
    let rec coeff n p =
      match p with
      [] -> A.zero
```

```
| (c, d) :: reste ->
  if d = n then c else
    if d > n then A.zero else coeff n reste
let plus p1 p2 = ...           (* addition *)
let opp p = ...                (* opposite *)
let prod p1 p2 = ...          (* product *)
end
```

Representation independence

PolyFull and PolyEmpty implements the same interface and are mostly interchangeable:

```
module PolyIntegers = PolyEmpty(Integers)
module Poly2Integers = PolyEmpty(PolyIntegers)
```

but not completely interchangeable: the representation type of the polynomials is visible!

```
module P1 = PolyFull(Integers);;          (* P1.t = int array *)
P1.degree [| 1;2;3;4 |];;                (* - : int = 3 *)

module P2 = PolyEmpty(Integers);;        (* P2.t = (int * int) list *)
P2.degree [| 1;2;3;4 |];;                (* type error *)
```

Hiding the representation of the polynomials

We apply a constraining signature to the result of the functors `PolyFull` and `PolyEmpty`, to hide the type `t`.

First attempt:

```
module type POLYNOME =
  sig
    module A : RING
      type t
      val zero: t
      val monome: A.t -> int -> t
      val coeff: int -> t -> A.t
      val plus: t -> t -> t
      val one: t
      val degree: t -> int
      val opp: t -> t
      val prod: t -> t -> t
    end
  end
module PolyFull = functor (Ring: RING) -> (struct ... end : POLYNOME)
```

Problem: we hide the type `A.t` of the coefficients, and the result of `PolyFull` cannot be used:

```
module PolyIntegers = PolyFull(Integers);;  
PolyIntegers.monome 15 2;;
```

This expression has type `int` but is used with type `PolyIntegers.A.t`

The right solution: rely on a manifest type to keep the identity of the type `A.t` of the result:

```
module PolyFull = functor (Ring: RING) ->  
  (struct ... end : POLYNOME with type A.t = Ring.t)
```

Then:

```
module PolyIntegers = PolyFull(Integers)
```

We have `PolyIntegers.t` abstract,
but `PolyIntegers.A.t = Integers.t = int` as desired.

The with notation

Allows adding type equalities to an existing signature. The expression `POLYNOME with type A.t = Ring.t` is a shorthand for the signature:

```
sig
  module A : sig type t = Ring.t
    val zero: t          val one: t
    val plus: t->t->t    val opp: t->t
    val prod: t->t->t
  end

  type t
  val zero: t          val one: t
  val monome: A.t -> int -> t  val plus: t -> t -> t
  val opp: t -> t          val prod: t -> t -> t
  val degree: t -> int      val coeff: int -> t -> A.t
end
```

Modules vs. polymorphism

You can implement polynomials without functors, using ML polymorphism:

```
type 'a polynome
type 'a ring =
  { zero: 'a; one: 'a; plus: 'a->'a->'a; opp: 'a->'a; prod: 'a->'a->'a }
val zero: 'a ring -> 'a polynome
val one: 'a ring -> 'a polynome
val monome: 'a ring -> 'a -> int -> 'a polynome
val plus: 'a ring -> 'a polynome -> 'a polynome -> 'a polynome
val opp: 'a ring -> 'a polynome -> 'a polynome
val prod: 'a ring -> 'a polynome -> 'a polynome -> 'a polynome
val degree: 'a ring -> 'a polynome -> int
val coeff: 'a ring -> int -> 'a polynome -> 'a
```

First problem: ring operations are one auxiliary argument of the operations on polynomials.

Functors = global parametrisation

Base language = local parametrisation

Second problem: the type of polynomials reflects only the type of the elements of the ring, not the ring.

```
let entiers =  
  { zero = 0; one = 1; plus = fun x y -> x + y; ... }  
let z_sur_3z =  
  { zero = 0; one = 1; plus = fun x y -> (x + y) mod 3; ... }  
let p1 = monome entiers 15 2  
let p2 = monome z_sur_3z 2 3  
let p3 = prod entiers p1 p2
```

Mixing p1 and p2 is absurd, but they have the same type `int polynome`.

The implementation using functors detects statically this error thanks to the the type system:

```
module Z_sur_3Z =  
  struct type t = int let zero = 0 let one = 1 let plus x y = (x+y) mod 3 ... end  
module PolyIntegers = PolyFull(Integers)  
module PolyZ3Z = PolyFull(Z_sur_3Z)
```

The types `PolyIntegers.t` and `PolyZ3Z.t` are both abstracts, and as such incompatibles:

```
PolyZ3Z.degree PolyIntegers.one
```

*This expression has type `PolyIntegers.t`
but is here used with type `PolyZ3Z.t`*

Special case: $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$

Other advantage of functors: give direct implementations for some types.

Example: to implement $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ we give a structure `Z2Z` based on bit arrays, with the same interface that the result of the `PolyFull` functor:

```
module Poly_Z2Z : (POLYNOME with type A.t = int) =
  struct
    module A = Z_over_2Z
    type t = bitvect
    let plus = bitvect_xor
    let prod = bitvect_prod
    let opp = id
    ...
  end
```