Inductive data types

Assia Mahboubi

9 juin 2010

In this class, we shall present how Coq's type system allows us to define data types using inductive declarations.

An arbitrary type as assumed by :

Variable T : Type.

gives no a priori information on the nature, the number, or the properties of its inhabitants.

An inductive type declaration explains how the inhabitants of the type are built, by giving names to each construction rule :

An inductive type declaration explains how the inhabitants of the type are built, by giving names to each construction rule :

Print bool.

An inductive type declaration explains how the inhabitants of the type are built, by giving names to each construction rule :

```
Print bool.

Inductive bool: Set := true : bool | false : bool.
```

An inductive type declaration explains how the inhabitants of the type are built, by giving names to each construction rule :

```
Print bool.

Inductive bool: Set := true: bool | false: bool.
```

Print nat.

An inductive type declaration explains how the inhabitants of the type are built, by giving names to each construction rule :

```
Print bool.
Inductive bool : Set := true : bool | false : bool.
Print nat.
Inductive nat : Set := O : nat | S : nat -> nat.
```

An inductive type declaration explains how the inhabitants of the type are built, by giving names to each construction rule :

```
Print bool.
Inductive bool : Set := true : bool | false : bool.
Print nat.
Inductive nat : Set := O : nat | S : nat -> nat.
```

Each such rule is called a constructor.

Inductive declarations in Coq

Inductive types in *Coq* can be seen as the generalization of similar type constructions in more common programming languages.

They are in fact an extremely rich way of defining data-types, operators, connectives, specifications,...

They are at the core of powerful programming and reasoning techniques.

Enumerated types

Enumerated types are types which list and name exhaustively their inhabitants.

```
Inductive bool : Set := true : bool | false : bool.
```

Enumerated types

Enumerated types are types which list and name exhaustively their inhabitants.

Labels refer to distinct elements.

Inspect the enumerated type inhabitants and assign values :

```
Definition my_negb (b : bool) :=
  match b with true => false | false => true.
```

Inspect the enumerated type inhabitants and assign values :

```
Definition my_negb (b : bool) :=
  match b with true => false | false => true.

Definition is_prevert_animal (x : prevert_enum) : bool :=
  match x with
  | dozen_of_oyster => true
  | an_other_racoon => true
  | _ => false
  end.
```

Definition my_negb (b : bool) :=

Inspect the enumerated type inhabitants and assign values :

```
match b with true => false | false => true.

Definition is_prevert_animal (x : prevert_enum) : bool :=
   match x with
   | dozen_of_oyster => true
   | an_other_racoon => true
   | _ => false
   end.
```

Eval compute in (is_prevert_animal one_stone).

Inspect the enumerated type inhabitants and assign values :

```
Definition my_negb (b : bool) :=
  match b with true => false | false => true.

Definition is_prevert_animal (x : prevert_enum) : bool :=
  match x with
  | dozen_of_oyster => true
  | an_other_racoon => true
  | _ => false
  end.
```

= false
: bool

Eval compute in (is_prevert_animal one_stone).

Enumerated types: reason by case analysis

Inspect the enumerated type inhabitants and build proofs :

```
Lemma bool_case : forall b : bool, b = true \( \nabla \) = false.
Proof.
intro b.
case b.
  left; reflexivity.
right; reflexivity.
Qed.
```

Enumerated types: reason by case analysis

```
Inspect the enumerated type inhabitants and build proofs:
Lemma is_prevert_animalP : forall x : prevert_enum,
  is_prevert_animal x = true ->
  x = dozen_of_oysters \lor x = an_other_racoon.
Proof.
(* Case analysis + computation *)
intro x; case x; simpl; intro e.
(* In the three first cases: e: false = true *)
  discriminate e.
  discriminate e.
  discriminate e.
(* Now: e: true = true *)
  left; reflexivity.
  right; reflexivity.
```

Enumerated types: reason by case analysis

Two important tactics, not specific to enumerated types :

- simpl : makes computation progress (pattern matching applied to a term starting with a constructor)
- discriminate : allows to use the fact that constructors are distincts :
 - discriminate H: closes a goal featuring a hypothesis H like
 (H: true = false);
 - discriminate : closes a goal like (0 <> S n).

```
Inductive nat : Set :=
| 0 : nat
| S : nat -> nat.
```

```
Inductive nat : Set :=
| 0 : nat
| S : nat -> nat.
Inductive list (A : Type) :=
| nil : list A
| cons : A -> list A -> list A.
```

```
Inductive nat : Set :=
| 0 : nat
| S : nat -> nat.
Inductive list (A : Type) :=
| nil : list A
| cons : A -> list A -> list A.
```

Base case constructors do not feature self-reference to the type. Recursive case constructors do.

Let us craft new inductive types :

Inductive natBinTree : Set :=

```
Let us craft new inductive types :
```

```
Inductive natBinTree : Set :=
| Leaf : nat -> natBinTree
```

```
Let us craft new inductive types :
Inductive natBinTree : Set :=
| Leaf : nat -> natBinTree
| Node : nat ->
```

```
Let us craft new inductive types :
Inductive natBinTree : Set :=
| Leaf : nat -> natBinTree
| Node : nat -> natBinTree -> natBinTree
```

```
Let us craft new inductive types :
Inductive natBinTree : Set :=
| Leaf : nat -> natBinTree
| Node : nat -> natBinTree -> natBinTree
```

Let us craft new inductive types: Inductive natBinTree · Set ·= Leaf : nat -> natBinTree Node : nat -> natBinTree -> natBinTree -> natBinTree Inductive term : Set := |Zero : term |One : term |Plus : term -> term -> term |Mult : term -> term -> term.

An inhabitant of a recursive type is built from a finite number of constructor applications.

We have already seen some examples of such pattern matching :

```
Definition isNotTwo x :=
  match x with
  | S (S 0) => false
  | _ => true
end.
```

We have already seen some examples of such pattern matching :

```
Definition isNotTwo x :=
  match x with
  | S (S 0) = false
  | => true
end.
Definition is_single_nBT (t : natBinTree) :=
match t with
|Leaf _ => true
| => false
end.
```

```
Lemma is_single_nBTP : forall t,
  is_single_nBT t = true -> exists n : nat, t = Leaf n.
Proof.
```

```
Lemma is_single_nBTP : forall t,
  is_single_nBT t = true -> exists n : nat, t = Leaf n.
Proof.
(* We use the possibility to destruct the tree
  while introducing *)
intros [ nleaf | nnode t1 t2] h.
```

```
Lemma is_single_nBTP : forall t,
   is_single_nBT t = true -> exists n : nat, t = Leaf n.
Proof.

(* We use the possibility to destruct the tree
   while introducing *)
intros [ nleaf | nnode t1 t2] h.

(* First case: we use the available label *)
   exists nleaf.
   reflexivity.
```

```
Lemma is_single_nBTP : forall t,
  is_single_nBT t = true -> exists n : nat, t = Leaf n.
Proof
(* We use the possibility to destruct the tree
  while introducing *)
intros [ nleaf | nnode t1 t2] h.
(* First case: we use the available label *)
  exists nleaf.
  reflexivity.
(* Second case: the test evaluates to false *)
simpl in h.
discriminate.
```

```
Lemma is_single_nBTP : forall t,
  is_single_nBT t = true -> exists n : nat, t = Leaf n.
Proof
(* We use the possibility to destruct the tree
  while introducing *)
intros [ nleaf | nnode t1 t2] h.
(* First case: we use the available label *)
  exists nleaf.
  reflexivity.
(* Second case: the test evaluates to false *)
simpl in h.
discriminate.
Qed.
```

```
Constructors are injective :
```

```
Lemma inj_leaf : forall x y, Leaf x = Leaf y -> x = y.
Proof.
intros x y hLxLy.
injection hLxLy.
trivial.
Qed.
```

Let us go back to the definition of natural numbers :

```
Inductive nat : Set := 0 : nat | S : nat -> nat.
```

Let us go back to the definition of natural numbers :

```
Inductive nat : Set := 0 : nat | S : nat -> nat.
```

The Inductive keyword means that at definition time, this system geneates an induction principle :

Let us go back to the definition of natural numbers :

```
Inductive nat : Set := 0 : nat | S : nat -> nat.
```

The Inductive keyword means that at definition time, this system geneates an induction principle :

```
nat_ind
    : forall P : nat -> Prop,
        P 0 ->
        (forall n : nat, P n -> P (S n)) ->
        forall n : nat, P n
```

```
To prove that for P: term -> Prop, the theorem forall t: term, P tholds, it is sufficient to:
```

To prove that for P: term \rightarrow Prop, the theorem forall t: term, P t holds, it is sufficient to :

- Prove that the property holds for the base cases :
 - ▶ (P Zero)
 - ▶ (P One)

To prove that for P: term -> Prop, the theorem forall t: term, P t holds, it is sufficient to:

- Prove that the property holds for the base cases :
 - ▶ (P Zero)
 - ▶ (P One)
- ▶ Prove that the property is transmitted inductively :
 - ▶ forall t1 t2 : term,
 P t1 -> P t2 -> P (Plus t1 t2)
 - ▶ forall t1 t2 : term,
 P t1 -> P t2 -> P (Mult t1 t2)

To prove that for P: term \rightarrow Prop, the theorem forall t: term, P t holds, it is sufficient to :

- Prove that the property holds for the base cases :
 - ▶ (P Zero)
 - ▶ (P One)
- Prove that the property is transmitted inductively :
 - Forall t1 t2 : term,
 P t1 -> P t2 -> P (Plus t1 t2)
 - ▶ forall t1 t2 : term,
 P t1 -> P t2 -> P (Mult t1 t2)

The type term is the smallest type containing Zero and One, and closed under Plus and Mult.

The induction principles generated at definition time by the system allow to :

- Program by recursion (Fixpoint)
- ▶ Prove by induction (induction)

Recursive types: program by structural induction

We can compute some information on the size of a term :

```
Fixpoint height (t : natBinTree) : nat :=
  match t with
    |Leaf _ => 0
    |Node _ t1 t2 => Max.max (height t1) (height t2) + 1
  end.
```

Recursive types: program by structural induction

We can compute some information on the size of a term :

```
Fixpoint height (t : natBinTree) : nat :=
  match t with
    |Leaf _ => 0
    |Node _ t1 t2 => Max.max (height t1) (height t2) + 1
  end.
```

```
Fixpoint size (t : natBinTree) : nat :=
  match t with
```

Recursive types: program by structural induction

We can compute some information on the size of a term :

```
Fixpoint height (t : natBinTree) : nat :=
  match t with
    |Leaf _ => 0
    |Node _ t1 t2 => Max.max (height t1) (height t2) + 1
  end.
```

```
Fixpoint size (t : natBinTree) : nat :=
  match t with
  |Leaf _ => 1
```

end.

Recursive types: program by structural induction

We can compute some information on the size of a term :

 $|Node t1 t2 \Rightarrow (size t1) + (size t2) + 1$

```
Fixpoint height (t : natBinTree) : nat :=
  match t with
    |Leaf _ => 0
    |Node _ t1 t2 => Max.max (height t1) (height t2) + 1
  end.

Fixpoint size (t : natBinTree) : nat :=
  match t with
  |Leaf _ => 1
```

end.

Recursive types: program by structural induction

```
We can access some information contained in a term:
Require Import List.
Fixpoint label_at_occ (dflt : nat)
                (t : natBinTree)(u : list bool) :=
match u, t with
|nil. =>
  (match t with Leaf n => n | Node n _ _ => n end)
|b :: tl, t =>
 match t with
    |Leaf _ => dflt
    | Node t1 t2 \Rightarrow
      if b then label_at_occ t2 t1 dflt
      else label_at_occ t1 tl dflt
  end
```

Recursive types: proofs by structural induction

We have already seen induction at work on nats and lists. Here its goes on binary trees :

```
Lemma le_height_size : forall t : natBinTree,
           height t <= size t.
Proof.
induction t; simpl.
  auto.
apply plus_le_compat_r.
apply max_case.
  apply (le_trans _ _ _ IHt1).
  apply le_plus_1.
  apply (le_trans _ _ IHt2).
  apply le_plus_r.
Qed.
```

Option types

```
A polymorphic (like list) non recursive type:

Print option.

Inductive option (A : Type) : Type :=
```

Some : A -> option A | None : option A

Option types

```
A polymorphic (like list) non recursive type :
Print option.
Inductive option (A : Type) : Type :=
  Some : A -> option A | None : option A
Use it to lift a type to version with default value:
Fixpoint olast (A : Type)(1 : list A) : option A :=
  match 1 with
    Inil => None
    la :: nil => Some a
    |a :: 1 => olast A 1
  end.
```

Pairs & co

```
A polymorphic (like list) pair construction :

Print pair.

Inductive prod (A B : Type) : Type :=
pair : A -> B -> A * B
```

Pairs & co

```
A polymorphic (like list) pair construction:
Print pair.
Inductive prod (A B : Type) : Type :=
    pair : A -> B -> A * B
The notation A * B denotes (prod A B).
The notation (x, y) denotes (pair x y) (implicit argument).
  Check (2, 4). : nat * nat
  Check (true, 2 :: nil). : bool * (list nat)
Fetching the components:
  Eval compute in (fst (0, true)).
   = 0 : nat
  Eval compute in (snd (0, true)).
   = true : bool
```

Pairs & co

```
Pairs can be nested:
```

This can also be adapted to polymorphic n-tuples :

```
Inductive triple (T1 T2 T3 : Type) :=
  Triple T1 -> T2 -> T3 -> triple T1 T2 T3.
```

Record types

A record type bundles pieces of data you wish to gather in a single type.

```
Record admin_person := MkAdmin {
id_number : nat;
date_of_birth : nat * nat * nat;
place_of_birth : nat;
sex : bool}
```

They are also inductive types with a single constructor!

Record types

You can access to the fields:

Variable t : admin_person.
Check (id_number t).

: nat
Check id_number.

In proofs, you can break an element of record type with tactics case/destruct.

Warning: this is pure functional programming...